

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/993,946	12/18/1997	THOMAS A. SILVESTRINI	AT 2036.00 5937		
7590 04/25/2005			EXAMINER		
Antoinette F. Konski			WILLSE, DAVID H		
Bingham McCi	utchen				
Three Embarcadero Center			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Suite 1800			3738		
San Francisco	CA 94111-4067				

DATE MAILED: 04/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

54	

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
08/993,946	SILVESTRINI, THOMAS A.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Dave Willse	3738		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Dave Willse	3738					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
HE REPLY FILED April 4, 2005, FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any example patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>04 April 2005</u> . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS							
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.		ejected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).				
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		, timely filed amendn	nent canceling				
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \( \subseteq \) will not be entered, or b) \( \subseteq \) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-7,9-13,15-17,23 and 24</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good at and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	out before or on the date of filing a find sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will vit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allow	ance because:				
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).  3. Other:							
		Dave Willse					
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3738					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The added language pertaining to "without ablation" (e.g., claim 1, line 5) requires further consideration of the prior art. The amendment is not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(c) because of failure to list certain claims as having been cancled.